



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, P.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/476,358	01/03/2000	PAUL SMITH	PM-265540	7714	
909	7590 07/19/2002		<u> </u>		
PILLSBUR	PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V	500		PARKER, KENNETH		
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/200	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Orfice Action Summary

Application No. 09/476,358

Applicant(s)

Smith et al

Examiner

Kenneth Parker

Art Unit 2871

<u>.</u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n th cover sheet with th correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply	_			
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing If the p If NO p Failure Any re	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. Beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>33-64</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 33-37, 40-52, 54, 55, and 58-64	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>38, 39, 53, 56, and 57</u>	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)💢		riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 5	☑ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	application from the International Bure				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) L 15\□	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
		priority diddi do dididi 33 (12 dididi). (2.)			
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
, ,	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
IA					

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 35-37,52, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the relationship between the dichroic layer or the light valve and the photoluminescent layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2871

was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 33-37, 40-52, 54-55, 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hilsum et al, U.S. Patent # 4,838,662

The primary reference discloses a liquid crystal device with a fluorescent material.

Lacking is the clear indication that it's absorption is polarized with a dichroic ratio of 5 or more.

As the order of the liquid crystal device is to be high for it's function to be performed, the absorption characteristics should be dichroic. Please note that when a reference is silent only on a characteristic, property or function, the burden can be shifted to applicant to show that the property is not anticipated and obvious. See MPEP 2112.

Still lacking from the disclosure is the use of an additional light valve. As there is no interrelationship claimed between the claimed light valve and the photoluminescent layer, simply using both types of devices will read on the claims. As the claimed light valves were

Art Unit: 2871

conventionally employed on laptops, it would have been obvious to employ the claimed light valve as part of a laptop. As the there is no claimed structural relationship between the light valve and the liquid crystal, the photoluminescent layer need not be part of the laptop with the light valve to read on the device.

Any assertion that something is well known is a taking of official notice.

Note: Any assertions that an element, practice or relationship was conventional has the incorporated motivations of the benefits of having established supply chains, well understood behavior and manufacturing methodologies.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 38-39, 53, 56-57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, presuming that rejections over 35 USC 112 (if any) can be overcome.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's preliminary amendment of is acknowledged.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please note that Hiragaki et al relates to the field of polarized fluorescent

Art Unit: 2871

measurement, an area in which order is measured the measuring the polarization of fluorescent molecules.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Parker whose telephone number is (703) 305-6202. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or preceding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0956.

June 28, 2002

KENNETH ALLEN PARKER PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER GAU 2871